arXiv:1512.02098v1 [math.DG] 7 Dec 2015

C_1 IN [2] IS ZERO

Abbas Bahri

0.Introduction.

This short Note falls within the context of the references [1], [2], [3] and [4] cited below and establishes that the counter-example provided in [4] to the second inequality of Corollary (19.10) in the Clay Institute Monograph by J.Morgan and G.Tian [1] entitled "Ricci Flow and the Poincare Conjecture" still stands after the correction published in [2]. The constant C_1 in Lemma 0.4 of [2] can be taken to be zero.

The problem lies deeper.

1. Preliminaries.

We assume in the sequel that the curve-shortening flow, starting from a given curve, defines a piece of (immersed)surface Σ. This happens for example when $k(c(x_0,0))$, the norm of the curve-shortening flow deformation vector $H(c(x,0))$ as in eg [1], is non-zero at a given point x_0 of a smooth immersed curve $c(x,0)$. Extending in section to the curve-shortening flow, we find that an open set U in M is parameterized as $\{c_\mu(x,t)\}$, μ an extra-parameter, with $\frac{\partial c_\mu(x,t)}{\partial t} = H((c_\mu(x,t)) = \nabla_S^{g(t)} S(c_\mu(x,t))$, S is the unit vector of $x \longrightarrow c_\mu(x,t)$, $((t,\mu)$ frozen) for the metric $g(t)$ evolving as in [1] through the Ricci flow.

U is now mapped into $M \times [0,\epsilon)$ through the map $c_\mu(x,t) \longrightarrow (c_\mu(x,t),t), t \in [0,\epsilon)$.

This is the framework of [2], with the metric $\hat{g}$ on $M \times [0,\epsilon)$. The image of M through this map will be denoted M_1 in the sequel.

2. C_1 of [2] is zero.

a. The estimate $(\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} H, H)_{(c(x,t),t)} = -Ric_{g(t)}(H,H) = O(k^2)$.

Consider the identity:

$$(\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{H}} H, H) = (\nabla_H^{g(t)} H, H)_{g(t)} - Ric_{g(t)}(H,H)$$

This identity is derived from the two ways to compute $\frac{\partial k^2}{\partial t}$, the first one using the metric and connection over $M \times [0,\epsilon)$, see [2], the second one by differentiating directly as in (19.1) of [1] and using the connection of the metric $g(t)$. The proof of (19.1) is repeated in section 3, below, for the sake of completeness.

$\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{H}} H$ above is viewed as covariant differentiation along the curve $(c(x,t),t)$ of M_1 (see section 1). Along this curve, $H = \nabla_S^{g(t)} S$, with $S = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(t)}}$. Since this quantity depends only on the value of H along the curve $(c(x,t),t)$, H can be extended in this subsection to the $(c(x,t),s)$s as $H(c(x,t),s) = \nabla_S^{g(t)} S, S = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(t)}}$. This is understood as covariant differentiation of S along the curve parametrized in the variable x as $(c(x,t),s)$, with t and s frozen, hence as covariant differentiation along S.

With $H = \nabla_S^{g(t)} S, S = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(t)}}$, the expression above $(\nabla_H^{g(t)} H, H)_{g(t)}$, at $t = t_0$, is in fact $[(\nabla_H^{g(t_0)} H, H)_{g(t_0)}]_{t=t_0}$, see the proof below in section 3.

Observe now that, since H is horizontal:

$$\{[(\nabla_H^{g(t_0)} H, H)_{g(t_0)}](c(x,t))\}_{t=t_0} = (\hat{\nabla}_H H, H)_{(c(x,t_0),t_0)}$$

We use now the fact that $\hat{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + H$. We can then split $\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{H}}$ into $\hat{\nabla}_H + \hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}$ in the derivation formula above. Using this splitting, we derive that

$$(\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} H, H)_{(c(x,t),t)} = -Ric_{g(t)}(H,H) = O(k^2)$$

This estimate can also be derived directly, without comparing the two formulae, but with working on $M \times [0,\epsilon)$, with the connection $\hat{\nabla}$ and a suitable coordinate frame, using $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}$, $H(c(x,t),s) = \nabla_S^{g(t)} S$, with $S(c(x,t),s) = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(t)}}$ and an additional suitable vector-field which adds the parameter μ of section 1. We may assume that, with this additional vector-field, we find a frame that is orthogonal (not orthonormal) at $(c(x,t_0),t_0)$. The computation at $(c(x,t_0),t_0)$ becomes straightforward: H has a component on itself equal to 1; any derivative of this component is zero. Then, $(\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} H, H)_{(c(x,t_0),t_0)} = \hat{\Gamma}^H_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t},H}(c(x,t_0),t_0)(H,H)_{g(t_0,c(x,t_0))}$. The Lie bracket $[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, H]$ is zero[1]; furthermore, the base point $c(x,t)$ for the computation of the dot-products in $g(t)$ does not move under the action of the one-parameter group of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. The only parameter that changes under the action of the one parameter group of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is the second parameter s in $(c(x,t),s)$; over a time equal to τ, this involves a change of the metric from $g(t,c(x,t))$ into $g(t+\tau,c(x,t))$. H is unchanged. Thus, we find that as above:

$$(\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} H, H)_{(c(x,t_0),t_0)} = -Ric_{g(t_0)}(H,H) = O(k^2)$$

Since t_0 is arbitrary, this new direct computation confirms the former one. We have skipped some details in this construction and in this computation; they do not present any real difficulty.

Observe that the estimate above $O(k^2)$ does not depend on Σ. It depends only on the ambient metric and on the value of k of course.

b. C_1 in Lemma 0.4 of [2] can be taken to be zero.

Coming back to the formula of the correction [2], we focus on the addition of the two terms (observe the change of order in S and H for the two last arguments in $\hat{Rm}$ with respect to [2] in our notation. This is only a matter of notation: the content, which is derived through the formula using the curvature operator $(R(A,B)C,D) = Rm(A,B,C,D)$ in our notations and $(R(A,B)C,D) = Rm(A,B,D,C)$ in the notations of [1] and [2] is unchanged) $\hat{Rm}(\hat{H},S,S,H) + (\hat{\nabla}_S \hat{\nabla}_S \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, H)$. These are the two terms (multiplied by 2) contributing to the constant C_1 of Lemma 0.4 of [2][2].

We need to be careful with the definition of S: S, within the framework of the metric $\hat{g}$ of [2], is equal to $S_2(c(x,t),s) = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(s)}}$. We will modify, without loss of generality for the computation, its definition in the expression for $\hat{Rm}$ below.

Observe that the one parameter group of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, used over the time τ, maps $(c(x,t),s)$ into $(c(x,t),s+\tau)$. It follows that commutation of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ with $X = \frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}$ does occur, so that $[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X] = 0$.

We now replace S_2, in $\hat{Rm}$ **only**, by $S(c(x,t),s) = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(t)}}$, over $M \times [0,\epsilon)$; since we are completing this computation at points of M_1, where the value of S_2 is indeed $\frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(t)}}$, this modification is legitimate in $\hat{Rm}$: the

[1]This follows from the action of the one parameter group of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$; this action is only on the second factor of the couple $(c(x,t),s)$, observe that $H(c(x,t),s) = \nabla_S^{g(t)} S$, the value of S being $S(c(x,t),s) = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(t)}}$, does not depend on s. This is the framework, which we defined above, for our computation.

[2]The other terms displayed in [2] which could contribute to C_1 in Lemma 0.4, such as $2(\hat{\nabla}_{\hat{H}}[Ric_g(S,S)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}], H)_g$, are in fact $O(k^2)$. For example, for this latter term, we know that H and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ are orthogonal and we know that $\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})$ is zero. $\hat{H}$ can be broken into $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + H$. The contribution of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ in the expression above is zero using our observations and the contribution of H is then $O(k^2)$ since there is an additional dot product with H in this expression.

value of $\hat{Rm}(\hat{H}, S_2, S_2, H)$ at a point of M_1 is the same than the value of $\hat{Rm}(\hat{H}, S, S, H)$, S_2 and S as above, at the same point. This follows from the tensor properties of $\hat{Rm}$.

As in [2], with new notations-S_2 is a notation which is not used in [2]- S in the other term $(\hat{\nabla}_S\hat{\nabla}_S\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, H)$ remains $S_2(c(x,t),s) = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(s)}}$. Observe that $S_2 = \gamma S$, $\gamma = 1, S_2.\gamma = 0$ on M_1, so that $\hat{\nabla}_{S_2}\hat{\nabla}_{S_2} = \hat{\nabla}_S\hat{\nabla}_S$ on M_1, see section 1, above for the definition of M_1.

We need only to consider the terms which are not $O(k^2)$ and, therefore, the above expression can be changed into $\hat{Rm}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, S, S, H) + (\hat{\nabla}_{S_2}\hat{\nabla}_{S_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, H)$.

H has been extended, without loss of generality, in both terms, over $M \times [0, \epsilon)$ as $H(c(x,t),s) = \nabla_S^{g(t)}S$, the covariant derivative along the unit vector S for $g(t)$ to the curve $x \longrightarrow (c(x,t),s)$, (t,s) frozen.

Writing the first term with the use of the riemannian tensor on $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and S, this is $(\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\hat{\nabla}_S S - \hat{\nabla}_S\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}S - \hat{\nabla}_{[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, S]}S, H)$. This first term is thereby divided itself into three further terms, which we now discuss one by one: We use the fact that $[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, S] = \theta S$, with θ bounded. This allows to see that the term $(\hat{\nabla}_{[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, S]}S, H)$ is $O(k^2)$. The second term, after the use of the commutation relation $[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, S] = \theta S$ and the fact that S and H are orthogonal, cancels with $(\hat{\nabla}_{S_2}\hat{\nabla}_{S_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, H)$ (use our observation above about $\gamma, S_2.\gamma$ on M_1) leaving $O(k^2)$.

Using the fact that $\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0$ and the fact that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and H are orthogonal, we find that the first term is $(\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}H, H)$, which is, from our reasoning above, $O(k^2)$.

The conclusion follows. C_1 in [2] can be taken to be zero (we assume that we have a piece of surface Σ; the estimate, as pointed out above does not depend on Σ. When $k = 0$ and there is no immersed Σ locally, the estimate of [2] contains $C_1 k$ and our argument does not work as is. It is however then clear that $(\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}H, H)_{g(t)} = 0 = O(k^2)$ at such points. It is also clear that $C_1 k$ is zero at such points and can be forgotten. Using then density and continuity, the assumption that Σ immersed exists can be removed). The additional terms in k in the correction hide a cancellation.

3. Proof of (19.1) of [1].

For completion, we add here the computation that shows that (19.1) of [1] holds: Let $\gamma(t) = g(t) - g(t_0)$ be the bilinear symmetric 2-tensor form defined by difference. We write on the image (piece of) surface in M, which we assume to be immersed:

$$(H,H)_{g(t)} = (H,H)_{g(t_0)} + (H,H)_{\gamma(t)}$$

H is here equal to $H = \nabla_S^{g(t)}S$, S the unit vector tangent to the curve $x \longrightarrow c(x,t)$, with respect to the metric $g(t)$.

Differentiating with the use of the connection along the surface induced by $g(t_0))$, we find, since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = H$ on the surface:

$$[\frac{\partial k^2}{\partial t}]_{t=t_0} = [2(\nabla_H^{\Sigma}H, H)_{g(t_0)} + \frac{\partial((H,H)_{\gamma(t)})}{\partial t}]_{t=t_0}$$

Σ is our piece of surface.

Since H is tangent to Σ, we may replace ∇^{Σ} with $\nabla^{g(t_0)}$ the connection for $g(t_0)$ on M. For the derivative of the second term, we use local coordinates on Σ and we find that this is $(H,H)_{\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}_{t=t_0}}$, which yields the term $-2Ric(H,H)$. (19.1) follows. Observe that, with $H_1 = \nabla_{S_1}^{g(s)}S_1$, $S_1 = \frac{\frac{\partial c(x,s)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,s)}{\partial x}|_{g(s)}}$:

$$[(\nabla_H^{g(t_0)}\nabla_S^{g(t)}S, H)_{g(t_0)}]_{t=t_0} = [([\nabla_H^{g(t)}H_1]_{s=t}, H)_{g(t)}]_{t=t_0}$$

4. The Clay Institute computation [1], p442 for $\frac{\partial k^2}{\partial t}$, slightly modified in order to make it more transparent.

The Clay Institute monograph [1], besides the division by k pointed out in [3] and corrected in [2] (counter-example still standing, see [4]), can be modified so that the computation of $\nabla_H H$, p442, is more elementary and transparent. The final result is unchanged: When computing $\nabla_H H$ as in p442 of [1], it is preferable to take the connection $\nabla^{g(t)}$ at a fixed value of t, $t = t_0$. This can be done, taking H_2 to be $\nabla_S^{g(t_0)} S$, where S is $\frac{\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}}{|\frac{\partial c(x,t)}{\partial x}|_{g(t)}}$, The computation is carried as in [1], except that the Lie bracket $[H_2, S]$ is not θS anymore, since H has been changed into H_2. However, with H_2, $[H_2, S] = \theta S + O(t - t_0)$, where $O(t - t_0)$ is small, as well as all its spatial derivatives as t tends to t_0. There is no t-derivative in the computation of [1]. The result for $\nabla_{H_2}^{g(t_0)} H_2$, p 442, reads ($H_2, S$ as described above):

$$\nabla_{H_2}^{g(t_0)} H_2 = \nabla_S^{g(t_0)} \nabla_S^{g(t_0)} H_2 + 2(k^2 + Ric(S,S))H + S.(k^2 + Ric(S,S))S + Riem^{g(t_0)}(H,S)S + O(t - t_0)$$

In this computation now, H_2 can be replaced by $H = \nabla_S^{g(t)} S$ in the left hand side. The result is unchanged since there is no t-derivative in this formula, the corrective terms are dropped into $O(t - t_0)$. $g(t_0)$ can be replaced by $g(t)$ in the right hand side, where the covariant derivatives are along S. Taking now the dot product with H, for the metric $g(t_0)$ for the left hand side and for the metric $g(t)$ for the right hand side, with $H = H_2$ there, we find ($(S, H_2)_{g(t)} = O(t - t_0)$):

$$(*) \quad (\nabla_H^{g(t_0)} H, H)_{g(t_0)} = (\nabla_S^{g(t)} \nabla_S^{g(t)} H_2 + 2(k^2 + Ric(S,S))H + Riem^{g(t)}(H,S)S + O(t - t_0), H_2)_{g(t)}$$

Understanding $\nabla_S^{g(t)} \nabla_S^{g(t)} H_2$ as covariant differentiation along the curve $c(x,t)$, ie along S, we find that

$$(\nabla_S^{g(t)} \nabla_S^{g(t)} H_2, H_2)_{g(t)} = (\nabla_S^{g(t)} \nabla_S^{g(t)} H, H)_{g(t)} + O(t - t_0)$$

Then, after entering this and further replacing H_2 with H in $(*)$, taking then this modified identity at $t = t_0$, we find that the computation of [1] for $\frac{\partial k^2}{\partial t}$ holds at $t = t_0$. But t_0 is arbitrary.

5. Conclusion.

In view of the present note and in view of the counter-example in [4], the problem lies deeper.

References

1. J.Morgan and G.Tian, *Ricci Flow and the Poincare Conjecture*, vol. 3, Clay Mathematics Monograph, AMS and Clay Institute, 2007.
2. J.Morgan and G.Tian, *Correction to Section 19.2 of Ricci Flow and the Poincare Conjecture*, arXiv:1512.00699 (2015).
3. A.Bahri, *Five gaps in Mathematics*, Advanced Non-linear Studies **Vol. 15, No. 2** (2015), 289-320.
4. A.Bahri, *A Counterexample to the second inequality of Corollary (19.10)in the monograph Ricci Flow and The Poincare Conjecture by J.Morgan and G.Tian* (2015).